

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/848,377	05/03/2001	Gregory Prince	469201-540 8081		
759	90 02/06/2006	EXAMINER			
CARELLA, BYRNE, BAIN, GILFILLAN,			HILL, MYRON G		
CECCHI, STEW 6 Becker Farm F	VART & OLSTEIN Road	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Roseland, NJ			1648		
			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/848,377	PRINCE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Myron G. Hill	1648	

		Myron G. Hill	1648	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE I	REPLY FILED 14 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	$oxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{6}$ months from the mailing date			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		400(-) 145	
have tunder set for may re	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the it in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a:
	<u>CE OF APPEAL</u> The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>14 November 2005</u> . A	brief in compliance with 37 CER 4	1.37 must be filed with	hin two months
_	of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
	NDMENTS	hut a day to the date of films a bring	:ill mot be entered b	0.001100
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO bw); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jecteu ciaims.	
4. 🔲			ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Ŧ. ☐ 5. ☐	•		omphant / anonamom	(1.02.02.1).
6. 🗌	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,		
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 22-24 and 28-40. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-21 and 25-27.		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
<u>AFFI</u>	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQ] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
_	The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
	•			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The IDS will not be considered because the fee and statement required under Patent Rule 1.97 have not been supplied. Bulow has not been considered in the arguments of the 103 rejection. The animal study results pointed to by applicant are directed to RSV in cotton rats with palivizumab and methylprednisoline and the claims are drawn to any respiratory virus, and not limited to the agents used in the examples. Thus, the animal study is not commensurate in scope with the claimed invention..

Continuation of 13. Other: The only amendment to the claims is the correction of a typographical error. The arguments are treated as request for reconsideration..

1/2/10

JAMES HOUSEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600